

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
ROCK HILL DIVISION

Robert Louis Garrett, Jr.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Director Bryan P. Stirling, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 0:18-cv-1309-CMC-PJG

**ORDER**

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's Complaint filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging violations of his constitutional rights. ECF No. 1. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(d), D.S.C., the matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Paige J. Gossett for pre-trial proceedings. On May 24, 2018, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending summary dismissal without prejudice and without issuance and service of process as to the claims against many of the seventy-seven named Defendants.<sup>1</sup> ECF No. 10. The same day, the Magistrate Judge filed an Order finding Plaintiff's Complaint

raises claims concerning at least five separate incidents that took place between April 2015 and May 2016. All of the incidents involve Plaintiff's claims of excessive force during his incarceration at three separate South Carolina Department of Corrections ("SCDC") facilities; however, other than the nature of the claim, the incidents appear to be wholly unrelated.

ECF No. 11. Therefore, the Magistrate Judge ordered Plaintiff's claims severed into four separate cases, with four civil action numbers. *Id.* Plaintiff was also issued a proper form order. ECF No. 12.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so.

On June 1, 2018, Plaintiff filed a motion for copies at no expense, requesting “one free ‘time-stamped’ copy” of the initial complaint with attached “important exhibits.” ECF No. 14. The same day he also filed a motion for extension of time to file objections to the Report, noting he needed to receive his free copies before he could formulate his objections. ECF No. 15. On June 8, 2018, Plaintiff filed a motion to amend/correct the Complaint and for permissive joinder of parties (ECF No. 17); a motion for reconsideration of the Magistrate Judge’s Order (ECF No. 11) severing the case and of the Report (ECF No. 10) recommending dismissal of certain Defendants (ECF No. 18); and a motion to alter or amend the Order and Report (ECF No. 19). The Magistrate Judge denied Plaintiff’s motion for copies on June 11, 2018, finding Plaintiff’s *in forma pauperis* status does not except him from paying costs of copying documents.

Plaintiff is hereby notified the court will consider his motion for reconsideration and to alter or amend as objections to the Report, and as an appeal of the Order severing the cases.<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff’s motion for extension of time (ECF No. 15) is granted to the extent he shall have thirty days from entry of this Order to file anything further he wishes the court to consider as objections to the Report or on appeal of the Order severing the cases.

---

<sup>2</sup> These two motions, ECF Nos. 18 and 19, are no longer referred to the Magistrate Judge. Plaintiff’s motion to amend the Complaint, ECF No. 17, remains referred to the Magistrate Judge.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Columbia, South Carolina  
June 26, 2018

s/Cameron McGowan Currie  
CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE  
Senior United States District Judge